

Planning Team Report

# Tamworth Regional LEP 2010 Amendment No 3

Proposal Title :	Tamworth Regional LEP 2010 Amendment No 3		
Proposal Summary :	This Planning Proposal aims to reinstate opportunities for development applications to be approved for dwellings in the RU1 Primary Production and RU4 Primary Production Small Lots zones that may have been removed by the publication of the Tamworth Regional Local Environmental Plan (TRLEP) 2010. The Planning Proposal addresses circumstances where such dwelling permissibility or 'entitlement' has been limited by changes in minimum lot sizes, existing holding provisions and /or concessional allotment provisions.		
PP Number :	PP_2013_TAMWO_001_00	Dop File No :	12/20688
Proposal Details	A LA STORE		
Date Planning Proposal Received :	20-Dec-2012	LGA covered :	Tamworth Regional
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Tamworth Regional Council
State Electorate :	TAMWORTH	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Policy		
Location Details			
Street :			-
Suburb :	City :	: Tamworth Regional	Postcode :
	a Planning Proposal applies to		Barraba, Manilla, Parry and Nudle
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Jon Stone	×.	4
Contact Number :	0267019688		774
Contact Email :	jon.stone@planning.nsw.gov	.au	
<b>RPA</b> Contact Detai	ls		* .
Contact Name :	Andrew Spicer		
Contact Number :	0267675578	- 	
Contact Email :	a.spicer@tamworth.nsw.gov.	au	
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :	Ň/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :	0	Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		*
If Yes, comment :	No meetings or other communica to this Planning Proposal.	ations have been held with R	egistered Lobbyists in regards
Supporting notes	(*. 34)		2 G - 1
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	The Planning Proposal aims to a the change of planning provision effect of the changes was that a s "entitlements".	s implemented by the public	ation of the TRLEP 2010. The
	The intended outcome of this Pla landowners to act on dwelling 'er publication of the TRLEP 2010. H the TRELP 2010 Lot Size Maps ar lands in the Tamworth Regional L	ntitlements' that existed imm owever the Planning Propos nd so does not affect the sub	ediately prior to the al does not propose to amend
Adequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the obj	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The objectives and intended o in relation to the proposed rei affected by the publication of	nstatement of of dwelling en	
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)(b)		
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The Planning Proposal provide achieve its objectives. Counc Infrastructure may identify alte	il also acknowledges that th	e Department of Planning and

10 Jan 2013 11:47 am

	dwelling entitlements	to achieve the objectives of the planning proposal.
	the Planning Proposa Team prior to public e	e LEP as proposed by Council will not entirely achieve the aims of I. It is recommended that Council liaise with the Regional Planning exhibition to develop an appropriate mechaninsm to achieve the ether this be through an amendment to an existing clause or hap series.
ustification - s55 (2)	(c)	
a) Has Council's strategy	been agreed to by the D	irector General? <b>Yes</b>
b) S.117 directions identi		1.2 Rural Zones
* May need the Director		3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 3.6 Shooting Ranges
Is the Director Genera	al's agreement required?	
	ard Instrument (LEPs) Or	
d) Which SEPPs have th		SEPP No 30—Intensive Agriculture
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The New England No	orth West draft Strategic Regional Land Use Plan was publicly 12 and applies to the Tamworth Regional LGA.
Have inconsistencies wit	h items a), b) and d) bein	g adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	• •	sal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs and the t draft Strategic Regional Land Use Plan.
	The inconsistencies significance and are	s with relevant section 117 Directions are considered to be of minor e discussed below.
apping Provided - s	s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Ye	<b>S</b>	
Comment :	The Planning Propo submitted by Counc at this stage, howev may be a need to an	sal includes appropriate mapping showing affected lands. As iil, the Planning Proposal does not propose to amend any LEP maps er following the recommended liaison with the Regional Team there nend some LEP maps. These will be prepared prior to exhibition if d in a revised Planning Proposal.
Community consulta	tion - s55(2)(e)	
Comment :	tion been proposed? Yes	nity consultation details are provided in the current Planning
	Proposal. Council d would be implement the aim of consultin with this issue. Due to the nature of	loes proposes that an extensive community consultation strategy ted in accordance with any prospective gateway determination with g the widest possible range and number of stakeholders connected the proposal, it is considered appropriate that the community be to comment on the proposal. An exhibition period of 28 days is
Additional Director G	ieneral's requiremen	its
	Director General's require	

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Council has included a Project Time Line within the Planning Proposal. It indicates a 6 month timeframe to complete the LEP Amendment. This appears to be optimistic given the nature of the proposed amendment. It is recommended that a 9 month timeframe to complete the LEP Amendment be included in the Gateway Determination.

### Proposal Assessment

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

The Tamworth Regional LEP 2010 is a standard instrument LEP that was notified on 21 January 2011.

### Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :

The TRLEP 2010 was notified on 21 January 2011. At that time minimum lot size provisions were adjusted upward for much of the rural zoned land in the LGA. This increase was the result of a detailed strategic planning process between Council's elected officials and staff and Government Agencies including the former Department of Planning, NSW Agriculture and Department of Water and Energy and Department of Conservation and Climate Change. The outcome from the process was the identification of areas and appropriate minimum lot sizes based on current land use patterns, the fragmentation of rural lands, the potential for rural land use conflict, natural and physical constraints and access to services. It resulted in the rural minimum lot sizes ranging from 10ha to 800ha depending on their existing characteristics, location, and future intended use. The identification of the minimum lot sizes was consistent with the Rural Planning Principles and Rural Subdivision Principles contained within the Rural Lands SEPP 2008. The increased lot size provisions limited potential dwelling construction on these rural lands.

The potential to erect a dwelling on 'existing holdings' as defined in the LEP was also limited by a 'sunset' period of two (2) years from the date of publication of the TRLEP 2010. This period will expire on 21 January 2013. The provisions of the LEP also resulted in the potential to erect a dwelling on existing approved 'concessional allotments' being removed altogether.

Council has utilised the provisions for clause 4.6 Exceptions to Development Standards of the TRLEP 2010 to provide a degree of flexibility in the application of development standards to approve dwellings in the rural zones that do not meet the minimum lot size.

Council is in receipt of submissions from rural landholders seeking the reinstatement of dwelling entitlements lost by the application of increased lot size provisions and other provisions of the TRLEP 2010. Owners are concerned about reduced property value and marketability as well as compromised land management and lifestyle opportunities.

The Regional Office considered that the blanket return to pre-TRLEP 2010 dwelling house development standards would negate several aspects of the strategic planning work undertaken by Council and Government Agencies to identify the current minimum lot sizes. Further justification is required prior to the introduction of such a wide ranging change to dwelling house development standards

Consistency with strategic planning framework : The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs.

The New England North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan was released in September 2012. The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with the provisions of this Plan.

The Tamworth Regional Development Strategy was prepared by Council and approved by the Director General in April 2008. Chapter 6 of the Strategy – Supporting and Protecting Rural Futures includes the following recommendations:

• Provide for the economic growth of the rural area and maintain and enhance rural job opportunities.

• Promote sustainable management of natural resources for Primary Production.

Prepare management guidelines for land uses in rural areas.

Protect agricultural land resources.

• Minimise land use conflict and ensure farmers/producers can carry out farming activities with long term security.

• Maintain viable farm sizes, the capacity to continue farming practices, minimise the fragmentation of the agricultural land resource and encourage the consolidation of small holdings.

• Minimise the impact of Poultry Farms and ensure environmentally sustainable development.

• Support equine industry development and promote sustainable management of its development.

The preservation and protection of mineral resources.

Protect and enhance forestry resources.

• Promote the forestry industry in Tamworth with regard to maintaining and enhancing job opportunities in forestry.

Council has identified that the Development Strategy acknowledges that the existing land use pattern needs to be supported to sustain and strengthen the viability of rural lands to achieve land management outcomes and support agricultural operations of different types including family-run businesses. Family businesses provide a balance to corporate agricultural businesses which appear to be on the increase.

The Development Strategy does not include specific comments or recommendations that the permissibility of dwellings should reflect the former LEP provisions. However it is considered that the proposal is not inconsistent with the Strategy.

The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all applicable section 117 Directions, except in relation to Direction 1.2 Rural Zones, 3.5 Development in the vicinity of Licensed Aerodromes, 3.6 Shooting Ranges, and 4.3 Flood Prone Land as discussed below:

#### **1.2 Rural Zones**

The Proposal is considered inconsistent with this Direction as it contains provisions that will increase the permissible density of land within a rural zone. This inconsistency is considered as minor as the provisions relating to dwellings in rural zones would be returning to their previous level of density.

3.5 Development near Licensed Aerodromes

The Proposal is considered inconsistent with this Direction as it will create, alter or remove a provision relating to land in the vicinity of a licensed aerodrome. This inconsistency is considered to be minorand justified due to the nature of the potential change in the vicinity of licensed aerodromes and that other provisions exist within the TRLEP 2010 to control development in such areas.

#### 3.6 Shooting Ranges

The Proposal is considered inconsistent with this Direction as it will create, alter or remove a provision relating to land adjacent to and/ or adjoining an existing shooting range. This inconsistency is considered to be minor due to the nature of the potential change in the

### vicinity of existing shooting ranges.

4.3 Flood Prone Land

The Proposal is considered inconsistent with this Direction as it creates, removes or alters a provision that affects flood prone land. This inconsistency is considered as minor and justified as the provisions relating to dwellings in rural zones would be returning to their previous level of density and that other provisions exist within the TRLEP 2010 to control development in such areas.

#### 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

The Proposal is considered inconsistent with this Direction as it affects land mapped as bushfire prone land. It is recommended that Council consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service to obtained written advice to the effect that, notwithstanding the noncompliance, the NSW Rural Fire Service does not object to the progression of the planning proposal.

Environmental social economic impacts :

The Planning Proposal considers the environmental, social and economic impacts associated with the amendments to the LEP and identifies no adverse impact. The Planning Proposal concludes that a positive social and economic impact is expected with the proposed changes due to greater development opportunities.

The Planning Proposal does not automatically confer a right to establish a dwelling on a rural holding and matters including the effect on critical habitat or threatened species, population or ecological communities, or their habitats, are the matters to be considered during the development assessment process.

The Planning Proposal includes land that is both flood prone and subject to bush fire. Provisions within the existing TRLEP 2010 deal with these issues and they are also managed through the development application process.

### Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultatio	n <b>28 Days</b>	
Timeframe to make	9 Month	ŧi	Delegation :	DDG	÷.,
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	NSW Rural Fire Ser	vice			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes		са. С. 1919 г. –	1.
If no, provide reasons :	This Planning Prop NSW Rural Fire Ser		proceed with consultation	on being undertake	n with the
Resubmission - s56(2)(t	b) : <b>Yes</b>	а а			
If Yes, reasons :	mechanism to achi the integrity of prev Agencies. This action could b	eve the desi vious strateg e through a	consult with the Region red outcomes of the Plan ic planning work undert revised clause within the take place prior to exhibi	nning Proposal whi aken by Council an e LEP or an addition	le maintaining d Government nal LEP map
Identify any additional st					griopodan

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

### Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Letter - Submission of Rural Dwelling Entitlement Planning Proposal to Department.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Tamworth_Rural_Dwelling_Entitlements_Planning_ Proposal_9_1_2013.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Ordinary_Council_Meeting_11_November_ 2012_ Item_6.2.pdf	Proposal	Yes

### **Planning Team Recommendation**

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:

1.2 Rural Zones
3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes
4.3 Flood Prone Land
4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
3.6 Shooting Ranges

Additional Information :

The Planning Proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions:

1. The Department supports Council's Intention to reinstate opportunities for development applications to be approved for dwellings in the RU1 Primary Production and RU4 Primary Production Small Lots zones that may have been removed by the publication of the Tamworth Regional Local Environmental Plan 2010, where appropriate. However, the proposed amendment to the clause 4.2B is not supported in its current form as it does not achieve the desired outcome of the Planning Proposal. Council is to consult with the Department's Regional Team on the form and content of an appropriate mechanism to achieve the desired outcomes of the Planning Proposal and maintain the integrity of previous strategic planning work undertaken by Council and Government Agencies.

2. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:

**NSW Rural Fire Service** 

Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material. Each public authority is to be given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal, or to indicate that they will require additional time to comment on the proposal. Public authorities may request additional information or additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal.

Council is to consult the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service, prior to undertaking community consultation. Council is to take into account any comments made and amend the planning proposal (if necessary) as per the requirements of S117 Direction Planning for Bushfire Protection.

Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 28 days; and
(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made

publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 4.5 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning 2009).

4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).

5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.

6. The Delegate of the Director General agrees that the inconsistencies with S117 Directions 1.2 Rural Zone, 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes, 3.6 Shooting Ranges, 4.3 Flood Prone Land and 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection are justified as being of minor significance.

Supporting Reasons :

Council's intention to reinstate opportunities for development applications to be approved for dwellings in the RU1 Primary Production and RU4 Primary Production Small Lots zones that may have been removed by the publication of the Tamworth Regional Local Environmental Plan 2010 is supported where approriate, as this will provide the community certainty for development opportunites for dwellings in rural areas.

Date:

10

SIM CLARK

Signature:

Printed Name: